

**ON THE SUBORBITS OF THE ALTERNATING GROUP A_n
ACTING ON ORDERED r -ELEMENT SUBSETS**

Richard Gachimu^{1 §}, Ileri Kamuti², Lewis Nyaga³, Jane Rimberia⁴

^{1,3}Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology
P.O. Box 62000-00200, Nairobi, KENYA

^{2,4}Department of Mathematics
Kenyatta University
P.O. Box 43844-00100, Nairobi, KENYA

Abstract: Transitivity and primitivity of the action of the alternating group A_n on ordered r -element subsets of the set $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of n letters are investigated in this paper. In addition, the rank and subdegrees of the action are calculated. Finally, some properties of the suborbits corresponding to this action are explored.

AMS Subject Classification: 05E18

Key Words: group, action, suborbits, rank, subdegrees

1. Introduction

Calculation of ranks and subdegrees of the symmetric group S_n acting on $X^{[r]}$, the ordered r -element subsets of X , appears in [4] and [5]. However, little appears to have been done on the action of A_n , a subgroup of S_n , on $X^{[r]}$. Section 2 of this paper gives definitions of some terms as well as theorems to be used in subsequent sections. Section 3 investigates the transitivity and primitivity of A_n acting on $X^{[r]}$. On the other hand, Section 4 determines the rank and subdegrees of A_n on $X^{[2]}$, $X^{[3]}$ and $X^{[4]}$ while Section 5 generalizes these invariants. Finally, Section 6 explores some criteria for determining if a suborbit of A_n is self-paired or paired with another. This section also derives a formula for finding the number of self-paired suborbits.

Received: January 14, 2015

© 2015 Academic Publications, Ltd.

[§]Correspondence author

2. Notation and Preliminary Results

Let G be a group and X a non-empty set. Then G acts on the left of X if there exists a function $G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $(g_1g_2)x = g_1(g_2)x$ and $ex = x$ where e is the identity in G , $x \in X$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$. The action of G on the right of X can be defined in a similar way. In this case, X is called a G -set.

Suppose a group G acts on a set X . Define a relation $x \sim y$ on X if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $y = gx$. This defines an equivalence relation on X . The equivalence class containing x is $Orb_Gx = \{gx | g \in G\}$, and is called the *orbit* (*transitivity class*) of x . Since any set is a disjoint union of equivalence classes under an equivalence relation, it follows that if G acts on X , then X is a union of disjoint orbits.

Theorem 2.1. (Cauchy-Frobenius Lemma, [2], p. 223) *If G is a finite group and X is a finite G -set, then the number of orbits in X under G is $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |Fix(g)|$, where $Fix(g) = \{x \in X | gx = x\}$.*

The action of a group G on a set X is said to be *transitive* if for each x and y in X , there exists $g \in G$ such that $y = gx$; in other words $Orb_Gx = X$ if $x \in X$. A group which is not transitive is called *intransitive*.

The *stabilizer* in G of x is the subset $Stab_Gx = \{g \in G | gx = x\}$ of G . It is also denoted by G_x and it is a subgroup of G , called the *isotropy subgroup* of G . If G_x is trivial, i.e., $G_x = \{e\}$, then G is said to act *faithfully* on X .

Theorem 2.2. (Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, [2], p. 218) *Let X be a G -set and let $x \in X$. Then $|Orb_Gx| = \frac{|G|}{|G_x|}$, the index of G_x in G .*

Let G act transitively on a finite set X . Then a subset Y of X is called a *block* (*set of imprimitivity*) for the action if for each $g \in G$, either $gY = Y$ or $gY \cap Y = \phi$; i.e., if gY and Y do not overlap partially. In particular, ϕ, X and all 1-element subsets of X are blocks, called the *trivial blocks*. The action is said to be *primitive* if the only blocks are the trivial blocks; otherwise the action is *imprimitive*.

Suppose G acts transitively on X and let G_x be the stabilizer of $x \in X$. The orbits $\Delta_0 = \{x\}, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{r-1}$ of G_x on X are known as *suborbits* of G . The *rank* of G is then r . The sizes $n_i = |\Delta_i|$, ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$) are known as the *subdegrees* of G . Both the rank and the subdegrees of G are independent of the choice of $x \in X$.

Let G act transitively on a set X and let Δ be an orbit of G_x on X . Define $\Delta^* = \{gx | g \in G, x \in g\Delta\}$. Then Δ^* is also an orbit of G_x called the G_x -orbit

(G -suborbit) *paired* with Δ . Clearly, $\Delta^{**} = \Delta$ and $|\Delta| = |\Delta^*|$. If $\Delta = \Delta^*$, then Δ is said to be *self-paired*. The trivial suborbit of G is self-paired, and there are other self-paired suborbits of G if and only if G has even order, [6].

Theorem 2.3. (see [1], p. 425) *Let G be transitive on X and let $g \in G$. Then the number of self-paired suborbits of G is given by $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |Fix(g^2)|$.*

From this point on, G shall be reserved to denote the alternating group A_n . Let G act on $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then, the action of G on X induces an action of G on $X^{[r]}$ that is defined by

$$g[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [g(x_1), g(x_2), \dots, g(x_r)] \quad \forall g \in G, [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}.$$

3. Transitivity and Primitivity of G Acting on $X^{[r]}$

Theorem 3.1. *The action G on $X^{[r]}$ is intransitive if $n = r + 1$.*

Proof. If $n = r + 1$, then $|X^{[r]}| = np_{n-1} = n!$ and $|G| = \frac{n!}{2} < |X^{[r]}|$. Hence, if G acts on $X^{[r]}$ and $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}$, then $|Orb_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| < |X^{[r]}|$, and the conclusion follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let G act on $X^{[r]}$ with $n \geq r + 2$. If $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}$, then $|Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| = \frac{(n-r)!}{2}$. In this case $Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ is trivial if $n = r + 2$, so that G acts faithfully on $X^{[r]}$, and is non-trivial otherwise.*

Proof. Suppose G acts on $X^{[r]}$ and let $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}$. Then $g \in G$ fixes $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ if and only if each element of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ comes from a 1-cycle in g . Hence, the order of $Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ is equal to the order of the group of all even permutations of the set $\{x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \dots, x_n\}$. But, this group is isomorphic to A_{n-r} . Therefore, $|Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| = \frac{(n-r)!}{2}$. Now, if $n = r + 2$, then on rewriting $n - r = 2$, so that $|Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| = \frac{2!}{2} = 1$. Hence, G acts faithfully on $X^{[r]}$. A similar argument shows that if $n > r + 2$, then $|Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| > 1$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *The action of G on $X^{[r]}$ is transitive if $n \geq r + 2$.*

Proof. Let $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}$. Now, from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.2,

$$|Orb_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]| = \frac{|G|}{|Stab_G[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\frac{n!}{2}}{\frac{(n-r)!}{2}} \\
&= \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \\
&= |X^{[r]}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the action is transitive. \square

Theorem 3.4. The action of G on $X^{[r]}$ is imprimitive if $n \geq r + 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, this action is transitive. Now, suppose

$$Y = \{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r], [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]\} \subset X^{[r]}.$$

Let $x_1 = y_1 = x', x_2 = y_2 = x'', \dots, x_{r-2} = y_{r-2} = x^{r-2}$ while x_{r-1}, x_r, y_{r-1} , and y_r are all distinct so that $|\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \cap \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}| = r - 2$. Take $g \in G$. If each of x_i and y_j ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) comes from a 1-cycle of g , then g fixes each element of Y so that $gY = Y$. On the other hand, if each of x', x'', \dots, x^{r-2} is in a 1-cycle of g , while $(x_{r-1} y_{r-1})$ and $(x_r y_r)$ are transpositions in g , then $gY = Y$ since $g[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$ and $g[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$. Finally, any other g takes one element of Y to an element of $X^{[r]}$ not in Y so that $gY \cap Y = \emptyset$. Hence, Y is a non-trivial block for the action and the action is therefore imprimitive. \square

4. Ranks and Subdegrees of G on $X^{[2]}$, $X^{[3]}$ and $X^{[4]}$

Theorem 4.1. If $n \geq 6$, then the rank of G on $X^{[2]}$ is 7.

Proof. Let G act on $X^{[2]}$. Then $G_{[1,2]}$ has orbits with exactly 2, 1, or no element from $N = \{1, 2\}$. There is only ${}^2C_2 = 1$ way of selecting two elements from N and the two can be arranged in the two positions in ${}^2P_2 = 2$ ways. So, there are ${}^2C_2 \times {}^2P_2 = 2$ suborbits whose elements contain both elements of N . Also, there are ${}^2C_1 = 2$ ways of selecting an element from N , which can occupy any of the two positions in ${}^2P_1 = 2$ ways. Hence, there are ${}^2C_1 \times {}^2P_1 = 4$ suborbits of G whose each element has exactly one element from N . Similarly, there is only ${}^2C_0 \times {}^2P_0 = 1$ suborbit whose each element contains no element from N . So, G has 7 suborbits in total. \square

The seven suborbits of G on $X^{[2]}$ are

a) Suborbits whose elements contain both 1 and 2:

No. of elements from $\{1, 2\}$	Suborbit Length	Corresponding No. of Suborbits
2	1	${}^2C_2 \times {}^2P_2 = 2$
1	$(n - 2)$	${}^2C_1 \times {}^2P_1 = 4$
0	$(n - 2)(n - 3)$	${}^2C_0 \times {}^2P_0 = 1$
Total		7

Table 1: Rank and Subdegrees of G on $X^{[2]}$ for $n \geq 6$

$$\Delta_0 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[1, 2] = \{[1, 2]\}$$

$$\Delta_1 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[2, 1] = \{[2, 1]\}$$

b) Suborbits whose each element contains exactly one of 1 and 2:

$$\Delta_2 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[1, 3] = \{[1, 3], [1, 4], [1, 5], \dots, [1, n]\}$$

$$\Delta_3 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[3, 1] = \{[3, 1], [4, 1], [5, 1], \dots, [n, 1]\}$$

$$\Delta_4 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[2, 3] = \{[2, 3], [2, 4], [2, 5], \dots, [2, n]\}$$

$$\Delta_5 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[3, 2] = \{[3, 2], [4, 2], [5, 2], \dots, [n, 2]\}$$

c) Suborbit whose each element contains neither 1 nor 2:

$$\Delta_6 = Orb_{G_{[1,2]}}[3, 4] = \{[3, 4], [3, 5], \dots, [3, n], [4, 3], \dots, [4, n], \dots, [n, n - 1]\}.$$

The subdegrees and corresponding number of suborbits of G on $X^{[2]}$ for $n \geq 6$ are summarized in Table 1 below.

Theorem 4.2. *If $n \geq 8$, then the rank of G on $X^{[3]}$ is 34.*

Proof. Suppose G acts on $X^{[3]}$. Then $G_{[1,2,3]}$ has orbits with exactly 3, 2, 1, or no element from $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$. An argument similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that there are ${}^3C_3 \times {}^3P_3 = 6$ suborbits of G with exactly three elements from N and ${}^3C_2 \times {}^3P_2 = 18$ suborbits with exactly 2 elements from N . Also, there are ${}^3C_1 \times {}^3P_1 = 9$ suborbits with exactly 1 element from N and ${}^3C_0 \times {}^3P_0 = 1$ suborbit with no element from N . Therefore, the rank of G on $X^{[3]}$ is 34. □

The subdegrees and corresponding number of suborbits of G on $X^{[3]}$ for $n \geq 8$ are summarized in Table 2 below.

Theorem 4.3. *If $n \geq 10$, then the rank of G on $X^{[4]}$ is 209.*

Proof. It is analogous to the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 above □

The subdegrees and corresponding number of suborbits of G on $X^{[4]}$ for $n \geq 10$ are summarized in Table 3 below.

No. of elements from $\{1, 2, 3\}$	Suborbit Length	Corresponding No. of Suborbits
3	1	${}^3C_3 \times {}^3P_3 = 6$
2	$(n - 3)$	${}^3C_2 \times {}^3P_2 = 18$
1	$(n - 3)(n - 4)$	${}^3C_1 \times {}^3P_1 = 9$
0	$(n - 3)(n - 4)(n - 5)$	${}^3C_0 \times {}^3P_0 = 1$
Total		34

Table 2: Rank and Subdegrees of G on $X^{[3]}$ for $n \geq 8$

No. of elements from $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	Suborbit Length	Corresponding No. of Suborbits
4	1	${}^4C_4 \times {}^4P_4 = 24$
3	$(n - 4)$	${}^4C_3 \times {}^4P_3 = 96$
2	$(n - 4)(n - 5)$	${}^4C_2 \times {}^4P_2 = 72$
1	$(n - 4)(n - 5)(n - 6)$	${}^4C_1 \times {}^4P_1 = 16$
0	$(n - 4)(n - 5)(n - 6)(n - 7)$	${}^4C_0 \times {}^4P_0 = 1$
Total		209

Table 3: Rank and Subdegrees of G on $X^{[4]}$ for $n \geq 10$

5. Rank and Subdegrees of G Acting on $X^{[r]}$

Suppose G acts on $X^{[r]}$ and let $N = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. If $n \geq 2(r + 1)$, then it is clear from Section 4 that G has suborbits whose each element contains exactly $r, (r - 1), (r - 2), (r - 3), \dots, (r - i), \dots, 3, 2, 1$, or no element from N where $0 \leq i \leq r$. The subdegrees and corresponding number of suborbits of this action are obtained by generalizing the results in, respectively, the second and third columns of Tables 1, 2 and 3. This is done as in Table 4 below.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G act on $X^{[r]}$ with $n \geq 2(r + 1)$. Suppose Δ_i is a suborbit of G whose each element has exactly $r - i$ elements from $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then, adding an extra element to X increases $|\Delta_i|$ by*

$$i(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - r - i + 3)(n - r - i + 2)$$

units, but does not affect the rank of G .

Proof. From the second column of Table 4,

$$|\Delta_i| = (n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - r - i + 2)(n - r - i + 1).$$

If an extra element is added to X , the new value of $|\Delta_i|$ is obtained by replacing n with $n + 1$, which equals $(n - r + 1)(n - r)(n - r - 1) \dots (n - r - i + 3)(n - r - i + 2)$.

No. of Elements from N	Suborbit Length	No. of Suborbits
r	1	${}^r C_r \times {}^r P_r$
$(r-1)$	$(n-r)$	${}^r C_{r-1} \times {}^r P_{r-1}$
$(r-2)$	$(n-r)(n-r-1)$	${}^r C_{r-2} \times {}^r P_{r-2}$
$(r-3)$	$(n-r)(n-r-1)(n-r-2)$	${}^r C_{r-3} \times {}^r P_{r-3}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$(r-i)$	$(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-r-i+1)$	${}^r C_{r-i} \times {}^r P_{r-i}$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
3	$(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-2r+4)$	${}^r C_3 \times {}^r P_3$
2	$(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-2r+3)$	${}^r C_2 \times {}^r P_2$
1	$(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-2r+2)$	${}^r C_1 \times {}^r P_1$
0	$(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-2r+1)$	${}^r C_0 \times {}^r P_0$
Total		$\sum_{i=0}^r ({}^r C_{r-i} \times {}^r P_{r-i})$

Table 4: Subdegrees and Corresponding Number of Suborbits of G on $X^{[r]}$.

So, the number of units by which the suborbit length changes is

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-r+1)(n-r)(n-r-1)(n-r-2) \dots (n-r-i+3)(n-r-i+2) \\ & - (n-r)(n-r-1)(n-r-2) \dots (n-r-i+2)(n-r-i+1) \\ & = i(n-r)(n-r-1) \dots (n-r-i+3)(n-r-i+2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the number of suborbits Δ_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, r$), which is the corresponding entry in the third column of Table 4, is given purely in terms of the integers r and i . It is clear that these integers are unaffected by increasing $|X|$. This in turn implies that increasing $|X|$ does not change the number of suborbits Δ_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, r$). As a result, the rank of G , which is just the sum of entries in this column, is not affected by adding an extra element to X . □

Theorem 5.2. *The rank of G acting on $X^{[r]}$ is $(r!)^2 \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{1}{(i!)^2 (r-i)!}$ for all $n \geq 2(r+1)$.*

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction. If $n = 2(r+1)$, the sum of the entries in the third column of Table 4 gives the desired result, i.e.,

$$R(G) = \sum_{i=0}^r ({}^r C_{r-i} \times {}^r P_{r-i})$$

$$= (r!)^2 \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{1}{(i!)^2 (r-i)!}.$$

Thus, the result holds for $n = 2(r + 1)$. Now, suppose the result holds for $n = 2(r + 1) + k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. To show that it holds for $n = 2(r + 1) + (k + 1)$, add an extra element to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2r, 2r + 1, 2(r + 1), \dots, 2(r + 1) + k\}$. From Lemma 5.1, the extra element just changes the lengths of the orbits of $G_{[1,2,\dots,r]}$ with exactly $r, (r - 1), (r - 2), (r - 3), (r - 4), \dots, 3, 2, 1$, and no element from $N = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} &0, 1, 2(n - r), 3(n - r)(n - r - 1), 4(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &(r - 3)(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - 2r + 6)(n - 2r + 5), \\ &(r - 2)(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - 2r + 5)(n - 2r + 4), \\ &(r - 1)(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - 2r + 4)(n - 2r + 3), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r(n - r)(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2) \dots (n - 2r + 3)(n - 2r + 2)$$

units. However, by the same lemma, the number of suborbits of G remain unchanged. So, if $n = 2(r + 1) + (k + 1)$, then the rank of G on $X^{[r]}$ is the same as that when $n = 2(r + 1) + k$. Thus, it holds for $n = 2(r + 1) + (k + 1)$ whenever it holds for $n = 2(r + 1) + k$. Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction, it holds for all $n \geq 2(r + 1)$. □

6. Properties of Suborbits of G Acting on $X^{[r]}$

Theorem 6.1. *Let G act on $X^{[r]}$ and let Δ_i and Δ_j be orbits of $G_{[1,2,\dots,r]}$. Suppose $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in \Delta_i$ where $x_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then Δ_i is paired with Δ_j if and only if there is an element $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] \in \Delta_j$ with $y_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that the permutations*

$$\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_r \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r \end{pmatrix} \text{ are inverses of each other.}$$

Proof. Suppose Δ_i is paired with Δ_j and $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in \Delta_i$. Then there exists $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] \in \Delta_j$ and $g_i, g_j \in G$ such that

$$g_i[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [1, 2, \dots, r]; \quad g_j[1, 2, \dots, r] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$$

and

$$g_j[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] = [1, 2, \dots, r]; \quad g_j[1, 2, \dots, r] = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r].$$

By definition,

$$g_i(x_1) = 1, g_i(x_2) = 2, \dots, g_i(x_r) = r; g_i(1) = y_1, g_i(2) = y_2, \dots, g_i(r) = y_r$$

and

$$g_j(y_1) = 1, g_j(y_2) = 2, \dots, g_j(y_r) = r; g_j(1) = x_1, g_j(2) = x_2, \dots, g_j(r) = x_r.$$

This implies that

$$(g_i g_j)(1) = 1, (g_i g_j)(2) = 2, \dots, (g_i g_j)(r) = r$$

and

$$(g_j g_i)(1) = 1, (g_j g_i)(2) = 2, \dots, (g_j g_i)(r) = r,$$

so that the permutations σ_i and σ_j are inverses of each other. Conversely, suppose σ_i and σ_j are inverses of each other. If $g_i, g_j \in G$ such that

$g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r & \dots & n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_r & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r & \dots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix}$, then g_i takes $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ to $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ and $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ to $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$. Similarly, g_j takes $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$ to $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ and $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ to $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$. Hence Δ_i and Δ_j are paired. \square

Theorem 6.2. *Let Δ be an orbit of $G_{[1,2,\dots,r]}$ on $X^{[r]}$ and let $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r], [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] \in \Delta$. Then Δ is self-paired if and only if the permutations $\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_r \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r \end{pmatrix}$ are inverses of each other. In this case, if $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$, then $\sigma_i = \sigma_j = \sigma$ and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r \end{pmatrix}$ is self-inverse.*

Proof. Suppose Δ is self-paired. Then, there exists $g_i, g_j \in G$ such that

$$g_i[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [1, 2, \dots, r]; g_i[1, 2, \dots, r] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$$

and

$$g_j[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] = [1, 2, \dots, r]; g_j[1, 2, \dots, r] = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r].$$

By definition,

$$g_i(x_1) = 1, g_i(x_2) = 2, \dots, g_i(x_r) = r; g_i(1) = y_1, g_i(2) = y_2, \dots, g_i(r) = y_r$$

and

$$g_j(y_1) = 1, g_j(y_2) = 2, \dots, g_j(y_r) = r; g_j(1) = x_1, g_j(2) = x_2, \dots, g_j(r) = x_r.$$

This argument implies that

$$(g_i g_j)(1) = 1, (g_i g_j)(2) = 2, \dots, (g_i g_j)(r) = r$$

and

$$(g_j g_i)(1) = 1, (g_j g_i)(2) = 2, \dots, (g_j g_i)(r) = r,$$

so that the permutations σ_i and σ_j are inverses of each other. Conversely, suppose σ_i and σ_j are inverses of each other. If $g_i, g_j \in G$ such that

$g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r & \dots & n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_r & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & r & \dots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_r & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix}$, then g_i takes $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in \Delta$ to $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ and $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ to $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] \in \Delta$. Similarly, g_j takes $[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r] \in \Delta$ to $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ and $[1, 2, \dots, r]$ to $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in \Delta$. Hence, Δ is self-paired. Now, if $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r]$, then, clearly $\sigma_i = \sigma_j = \sigma$ and it is, trivially, self-inverse. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let the cycle type of $g \in G$ be $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. If $\alpha_1 \geq r$, then the number of elements in $X^{[r]}$ fixed by g is given by $|Fix(g)| = r! \binom{\alpha_1}{r}$.*

Proof. Let $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r] \in X^{[r]}$ and $g \in G$. Then g fixes $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ if and only if each of the elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r comes from a 1-cycle in g . Now, out of the set of α_1 elements of X that are fixed by g , the total number of ordered r -element subsets that can be formed is ${}^{\alpha_1}P_r = r! \binom{\alpha_1}{r}$. Each of these elements of $X^{[r]}$ will be fixed by g so that $|Fix(g)| = r! \binom{\alpha_1}{r}$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let G act on $X^{[r]}$ and let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be the cycle type of an element $g \in G$. Then the number of self-paired suborbits of G is given by $\frac{2r!}{n!} \sum_{g \in G} \binom{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}{r}$.*

Proof. The number of 1-cycles in g^2 is $(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$, (see [3]). By Lemma 6.3, the number of elements in $X^{[r]}$ fixed by g^2 is $|Fix(g^2)| = r! \binom{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}{r}$. By Theorem 2.3, the number of self-paired suborbits of G on $X^{[r]}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |Fix(g^2)| &= \frac{1}{\frac{n!}{2}} \sum_{g \in G} r! \binom{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}{r} \\ &= \frac{2r!}{n!} \sum_{g \in G} \binom{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

References

- [1] P.J. Cameron, Suborbits in transitive permutation groups, In: *In Proceedings of NATO Advanced Study Institute of Combinatorics*, Bruekelen, Netherlands (1974).
- [2] J.B. Fraleigh, *A First Course in Abstract Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading (1994).
- [3] I.N. Kamuti, J.O. Obon'go, The derivation of cycle index of $S_n^{[3]}$, *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, **25** (2002), 99-108.
- [4] J.K. Rimberia, I.N. Kamuti, B.M. Kivunge, F. Kinyua, Subdegrees of the symmetric group S_n acting on ordered r -element subsets, *International Journal of Science, Commerce and Humanities*, **1**, No. 6 (2013), 112-118.
- [5] J.K. Rimberia, I.N. Kamuti, B.M. Kivunge, F. Kinyua, Suborbits and suborbital graphs of the symmetric group S_n acting on ordered r -element subsets, *Journal of Mathematical Theory and Modelling*, **3**, No. 11 (2012), 115-120.
- [6] C.C. Sims, Graphs and finite permutation groups, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **95** (1967), 76-86.

