Volume 4 No. 2 2012, 97-104

COMMON ALPHA-FIXED POINTS THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Anil Rajput¹, Namrata Tripathi²[§], Sheel Kant Gour³, Sema Chouhan⁴, S. Rajamani⁵

¹Bhabha Engineering Research Institute MCA, Bhopal (M.P.), INDIA
²Department of Mathematics TRUBA Engineering College Bhopal (M.P.), INDIA
³Department of Mathematics J.H. Govt. P.G. College Betul, INDIA
⁴2, Gandhi Nagar, Neemuch, INDIA
⁵28, Golf Links, Airport Road, Bhopal 32, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper we prove a common α -fixed point theorem for multivalued mapping in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space satisfying a new rational inequality. in this paper we used the results of Srivastav and Pawar, see [9], 2006; S.L. Singh, see [7], 1979; and use the concept of α – fixed point theorems from the B. Singh and R.K. Sharma (see [6], 2001). The main objective of this paper is to investigate a common α -fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words: intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, t-norm, t-conorm, α -fixed points, common α -fixed point, fixed point, fuzzy metric space, metric space, inequality and complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space

1. Introduction

Srivastav and Pawar (see [9], 2006) have proved various results related with the set of fixed point of Banach Contraction Mapping by introducing the notion of α -fixed point and some other concepts.

Received: August 9, 2011

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.

 § Correspondence author

Srivastav and Pawar ([9], 2006) obtained the new setting by mentioning α -fixed point through α -contraction mapping as well as mentioned relationship of contraction and α -contraction mappings by giving examples and remarks. Singh and Sharma ([6], 2001) proved common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying rational inequality in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Using the concept of α -fixed point we generalize the result of Singh and Sharma ([6], 2001) and proved common α -fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying rational inequalities in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. In J. H. Park ([4], 2004) introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous *t*-norms and continuous *t*-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric spaces due to A. George and P. Veeramani ([2], 1994).

2. Preliminaries

Basic terminology and properties of α -fixed point and Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space are given in this paper.

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous *t*-norm if * satisfying the following conditions.

(a) *is commutative and associative,

(b) *is continuous,

(c) a * 1 = a for all $a \in [0, 1]$;

(d) $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. A binary operation $\diamondsuit : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous t-conorm if \diamondsuit satisfies the following conditions:

(1) \diamondsuit is associative and commutative,

(2) \diamondsuit is continuous,

(3) $a \diamondsuit 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,

(4) $a \diamondsuit b \le c \diamondsuit d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3. A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ is called a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, * is a continuous t-norm, \diamondsuit is a continuous t-conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions (for each $x, y, z \in X$ and t, s > 0):

(a) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1$,

- (b) M(x, y, t) > 0,
- (c) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y,
- (d) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);
- (e) $M(x, y, t) *M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s),$
- (f) M(x, y, .) : $(0,\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.
- (g) N(x, y, t) > 0,
- (h) N(x, y, t) = 0 if and only if x = y,
- (i) N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t),

COMMON ALPHA-FIXED POINTS THEOREMS FOR ...

(j) $N(x, y, t) \diamondsuit N(y, z, s) \ge N(x, z, t + s),$

(k) N(x, y, .) : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X. The functions M(x, y, t) and N(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non nearness between x and y with respect to t, respectively.

Definition 2.4. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a α -fixed point of self map α , $T: X \to X$ if $(\alpha oT)x = x$.

Remark 2.5. A fixed point is not necessarily α -fixed point and α -fixed point is not necessarily a fixed point.

Remark 2.6. In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space M(x, y, .) is non-decreasing and

N(x, y, .) is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.7. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space

 $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ converges to x if and only if $M(x_n, x, t) \to 1$ and $N(x_n, x, t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for each t > 0.

It is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \in <1$ and t > 0, there exits $n_0 \in N$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 \in A$ and $N(x_n, x_m, t) < \in A$ for each $n, m \ge n_0$. The intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 2.8. If x, y are any two points in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X and k is a positive number with k < 1 and $M(x, y, kt) \ge M(x, y, t)$, $N(x, y, kt) \le N(x, y, t)$,

Then x = y.

Proof. It is immediate from remark 2.6 and Definition 2.3.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamondsuit)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $a * b = \min(a, b)$ and $a \diamondsuit b = \max(a, b)$.Let $\alpha : X \to X$ and $S, T : X \to CB(X)$ be single and multivalued mappings satisfying the following conditions: (i) $SX \subset TX$,

(ii) S and T are continuous,

(iv) there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and t > 0,

$$M(\alpha oS, \alpha oT, qt) \geq -\beta \frac{[M(x, (\alpha oS)x, t)]^2}{M(x, (\alpha oS)x, t) * M(x, (\alpha oT)y, 2t) * M(x, y, t)}$$

$$N(\alpha oS, \alpha oT, qt) \le \beta \frac{[N(x, (\alpha oS)x, t)]^2}{N(x, (\alpha oS)x, t) \diamondsuit N(x, (\alpha oT)y, 2t) \diamondsuit N(x, y, t)}$$

Here

$$M(x,(\alpha oS)x,t)*M(x,(\alpha oT)y,t)*M(x,y,t)\neq 0$$

and

100

$$N(x, (\alpha oS)x, t) \Diamond N(x, (\alpha oT)y, t) \Diamond N(x, y, t) \neq 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 < \beta < 1$.

Then S and T have a unique common α -fixed point.

Proof. We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n = (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}$ and $x_{n+1} = (\alpha oT) x_n$ for all a in X.

$$\begin{split} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &= M((\alpha oS)x_{n1}, (\alpha oT)x_n, qt) \\ &\geq \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t) * M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)x_n, 2t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t))} \\ M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\geq \quad \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, 2t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} \quad , \\ M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\geq \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2} \\ M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\geq \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} \quad , \\ M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\geq \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} \quad . \end{split}$$

Using $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \ge \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{\min[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)]}$$

Case 1. If $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$ then we have

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \le \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}.$$

Letting $q \to 1$:

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)^2 \le \beta M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)^2$$

Taking square both sides we get

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \le \sqrt{\beta} \quad M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

Case 2. If $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$, then we have

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \ge \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)},$$
$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \ge \beta M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t).$$

Now

$$\begin{split} N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &= N((\alpha oS)x_{n1}, (\alpha oT)x_n, qt) \\ &\leq \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)x_n, 2t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2} \\ N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\leq \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2} \\ N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\leq \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2} \\ N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\leq \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \diamondsuit N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2} \\ N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) &\leq \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \diamondsuit N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}, \end{split}$$

Using $a \Diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$:

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \le \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{\max[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t), N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)]}.$$

Now two cases arises:

Case 1. If $N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$ then we have

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \le \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)}.$$

Letting $q \to 1$:

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)^2 \le \beta N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)^2.$$

Taking square both sides we get

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \le \sqrt{\beta} N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t).$$

Case 2. If $N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$, then we have

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, qt) \le \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)}.$$

Letting $q \to 1$:

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \le \beta N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t).$$

Both the cases we have from Lemma 2.8 that

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \ge M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t).$$
(3.1.1)

$$N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \le N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$$

Similarly, we have also

$$M(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, qt) \ge M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t).$$
(3.1.2)

$$N(x_{n+2}, x_{2n+3}, qt) \le N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)$$

From (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), we have

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \ge M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t).$$

$$N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \le N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t).$$
(3.1.3)

From (3.1.3)

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \ge M(x_n, x_{n-1}, t/q) \ge M(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t/q^2) \ge \cdots \ge M(x_1, x_2, t/q^n) \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$.

102

So, $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ for any t > 0.

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \le N(x_n, x_{n-1}, t/q) \le N(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, t/q^2) \le \cdots \le N(x_1, x_2, t/q^n) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

So, $N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, for any t > 0. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each t > 0, we can choose $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$
$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) < \varepsilon,$$

for all $n > n_0$.

For $m, n \in N$, we suppose $m \ge n$. Then we have that

$$M(x_n, x_m, t) \ge M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t/m - n) \ M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t/m - n)$$
$$M(x_{m-1}, x_m, t/m - n) > \frac{m - n}{(1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) \dots (1 - \varepsilon)} \ge (1 - \varepsilon),$$

 $N(x_n, x_m, t) = N(x_n, x_{n+1}, t/m - n) \Diamond N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t/m - n) \Diamond \dots \Diamond \rangle_{N(xm-1, xm, t/m - n)}$

$$< \frac{m-n}{\varepsilon \diamondsuit \varepsilon \diamondsuit \dots \diamondsuit \varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon$$

and hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Here $0 < \sqrt{\beta} < 1$ then by lemma 2.8 $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point (say z) in x

Now

$$M(z, (\alpha oT)z, t) \ge M(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M(x_n, (\alpha oT)z, \frac{t}{2})$$

$$\ge M(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M((\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, \frac{t}{2})$$

$$\ge M(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t) * M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)}$$

As $n \to \infty$:

$$\geq M(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * \beta \frac{[M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, t) * M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} \to 1.$$

Now,

.

$$N(z, (\alpha oT)z, t) \leq N(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) \Diamond N(x_n, (\alpha oT)z, \frac{t}{2})$$

$$\leq N(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) \Diamond N((\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, \frac{t}{2})$$

$$\leq N(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) \Diamond \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oS)x_{n-1}, t) \Diamond N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, t) \Diamond N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)}$$

As $n \to \infty$:

$$\leq N(z, x_n, \frac{t}{2}) \Diamond \beta \frac{[N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]^2}{N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \Diamond N(x_{n-1}, (\alpha oT)z, t) \Diamond N(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} \to 0$$

As $n \to \infty$ implies that $(\alpha o T)z = z$ i.e z is the α -fixed point of T.Similarly it can be shown that z is α -fixed point of S. Consequently z is the common α -fixed point of S&T. Now for uniqueness of z let z'be another common α -fixed point of S and T then:

$$\begin{split} M(z,z',t) &= M((\alpha oS), (\alpha oT)z',t) \\ &\geq \beta \frac{[M(z,(\alpha oS)z,t)]^2}{M(z,(\alpha oS)z,t)*M(z,(\alpha oT)z',t)*M(z,z',t)} = 1, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} N(z, z', t) &= N((\alpha oS), (\alpha oT)z', t) \\ &\leq \beta \frac{[N(z, (\alpha oS)z, t)]^2}{N(z, (\alpha oS)z, t) \diamondsuit N(z, (\alpha oT)z', t) \diamondsuit N(z, z', t)} = 0. \end{split}$$

Which is contradiction so z = z'. This completes the proof.

A. Rajput, N. Tripathi, S.K. Gour, S. Chouhan, S. Rajamani

References

- [1] Cihangir Alaca, Duran Turkoglu, Cemil Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solutions and Fractals*, **29** (2006), 1073-1078.
- [2] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [3] M. Grabiec, Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [4] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Choas Solitons and Fractals, 22, No. 5 (2004), 1039-1046.
- [5] J.S. Park, S.Y. Kim, A fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), 1, No. 6 (1999), 927-934.
- [6] B. Singh, R.K. Sharma, Common fixed point theorem in 2-metric spaces, Acta Ciencia Indica, XXVIIM, No. 3 (2001).
- [7] S.L. Singh, Some contractive type principles on 2-metric spaces and application, Mathematics Seminar Notes, 7 (1979), 1-11.
- [8] A. Srivastava, R. Rathore, A Study of Fixed Point Theorems in Metric and Quasi Metric Spaces, PhD Thesis, Vikram University Ujjain (M.P.), India (2006).
- [9] A. Srivastava, A. Pawar, A study on the set of α-fixed points, Journal of Indian Acad. Math., 28, No. 1 (2006), 157-164.

104