

**OSCILLATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER
DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES WITH “MAXIMA”**

N.T. Markova^{1 §}, P.S. Simeonov²

¹Department of Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry
Technical University
Sliven, 8800, BULGARIA
e-mail: n_markova_54@abv.bg

²Medical University of Sofia
2, Dunav Str., Sofia, 1000, BULGARIA
e-mail: simeonovps@gmail.com

Abstract: The following differential inequalities with “maxima”

$$(-1)^n L_n x(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) f_j(M_t^j x)$$

and

$$(-1)^n L_n x(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq p_0(t) \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(M_t^j x)$$

are considered where $n \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ are integers and

$$M_t^j x = \max_{\sigma_j(t) \leq s \leq \tau_j(t)} x(s), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Sufficient conditions are found under which all bounded solutions of these inequalities are oscillatory.

AMS Subject Classification: 34K15

Key Words: oscillation, almost oscillation, n -th order differential inequalities, deviating arguments depending on the unknown function

1. Introduction

We consider the n -th order differential inequalities with “maxima”

Received: May 23, 2010

[§]Correspondence author

$$(-1)^n L_n x(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) f_j(M_t^j x) \tag{A}$$

and

$$(-1)^n L_n x(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq p_0(t) \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(M_t^j x), \tag{B}$$

where $n \geq 1, m \geq 1$ are integers, $t \in J = [\alpha, +\infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$, $M_t^j x = \max_{\sigma_j(t) \leq s \leq \tau_j(t)} x(s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$L_0 x(t) = x(t), \quad L_k x(t) = r_k(t)(L_{k-1} x(t))', \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The domain $D(L_n)$ of L_n is defined to be the set of all functions $x : [t_x, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L_k x(t), k = 1, \dots, n$ exist and are continuous on an interval $[t_x, +\infty) \subseteq J$. By *proper* solution of inequality (A) (or (B)) is meant a function $x \in D(L_n)$ that satisfies (A) (or (B)) for all sufficiently large t and $\sup\{|x(t)| : t \geq T\} > 0$ for every $T \geq t_x$. We suppose that inequalities (A) and (B) do possess proper solutions. A proper solution of inequality (A) (or (B)) is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise it is called *nonoscillatory*.

The purpose of this article is to establish sufficient conditions under which all proper bounded solutions of inequalities (A) and (B) are oscillatory.

We note that the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of some neutral differential equations with “maxima” have been investigated by Bainov et al [1, 2].

Our main results generalize and improve the results given by Naito [8], where the case $L_n x(t) = x^{(n)}(t)$, $M_t^i x = x(g_j(t))$ is considered.

For related results we refer the reader to the papers of Gustafson [3], Koplatadze [5], Kusano and Onose [6], Markova and Simeonov [7], and Sfikas and Staikos [9, 10].

2. Preliminary Notes

Introduce the following conditions:

H1. $r_i \in C(J, (0, +\infty)), i = 1, \dots, n, r_n = 1$ and

$$\int_{t_i}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{r_i(s)} = +\infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

H2. $p_j \in C(J, (0, +\infty)), j = 1, \dots, m$.

H3. The functions $f_j(x)$ and $\varphi_j(x), j = 1, \dots, m$ are continuous and positive on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$ and $f_j(x) \operatorname{sgn} x$ and $\varphi_j(x) \operatorname{sgn} x$ are nondecreasing in x .

H4. $\sigma_j, \tau_j \in C(J, \mathbb{R}), j = 1, \dots, m$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_j(t) = +\infty, \quad \sigma_j(t) \leq \tau_j(t) \leq t \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \quad t \in J.$$

H5. There exists a nondecreasing function $\tau : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having an inverse $\tau^{-1}(t)$ and such that $\tau_j(t) \leq \tau(t) \leq t, j = 1, \dots, m, t \in J$.

In the paper we use the following notations:

$$f(x) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} f_j(x), \quad \varphi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(x), \quad I_0 \equiv 1,$$

$$I_j(t, s; a_j, \dots, a_1) = \int_s^t \frac{1}{a_j(u)} I_{j-1}(u, s; a_{j-1}, \dots, a_1) du, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $a_j \in C(J, (0, +\infty)), j = 1, 2, \dots$

We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. Suppose condition H1 holds and the functions $L_n x$ and $x \in D(L_n)$ are of constant sign and not identically zero for $t \geq t_* \geq \alpha$. Then there exist a $t_k \geq t_*$ and an integer $k, 0 \leq k \leq n$ with $n + k$ even for $x(t)L_n x(t)$ nonnegative or $n + k$ odd for $x(t)L_n x(t)$ nonpositive and such that for every $t \geq t_k$

$$\begin{aligned} x(t)L_i x(t) &> 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ (-1)^{i-k} x(t)L_i x(t) &> 0, & i = k, k + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. If $x \in D(L_n)$, then for $s, t \in J$ and $0 \leq i < \nu \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} L_i x(s) &= \sum_{j=i}^{\nu-1} (-1)^{j-i} I_{j-i}(t, s; r_j, \dots, r_{i+1}) L_j x(t) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\nu-i} \int_s^t I_{\nu-i-1}(u, s; r_{\nu-1}, \dots, r_{i+1}) \frac{L_\nu x(u)}{r_\nu(u)} du. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 generalizes the well-known lemma of Kiguradze [4] and can be proved similarly.

Lemma 2 is a generalization of Taylor’s formula with remainder encountered in calculus. The proof is immediate.

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. *Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t I_{n-1}(u, \tau(t); r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du > \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{f(x)}. \tag{1}$$

Then, if $n \geq 2$ all proper bounded solutions of inequality (A) are oscillatory, while if $n = 1$ all proper solutions of (A) are oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (A). Without loss of generality we suppose that $x(t)$ is eventually positive: $x(t) > 0$, $t \geq t_0 \geq \alpha$. It follows from (A) and conditions H2-H4 that $(-1)^n L_n x(t) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. By the boundedness of $x(t)$ and Lemma 1 it follows that there exists a $t_k \geq t_1$ such that

$$(-1)^i L_i x(t) > 0, \quad t \geq t_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2}$$

From Lemma 2 with $\nu = n$ and $i = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j I_j(t, s; r_j, \dots, r_1) L_j x(t) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \int_s^t I_{n-1}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) L_n x(u) du, \quad t \geq s \geq t_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (A) we get

$$x(s) \geq x(t) + \int_s^t I_{n-1}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) f_j(M_u^j x) du \tag{3}$$

for $t \geq s \geq t_k$.

By (2) $x(t)$ is decreasing for $t \geq t_k$ so that $M_t^j x \geq x(\tau_j(t)) \geq x(\tau(t))$ for $t \geq t_2 \geq t_k$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

From (3) and the monotonicity of f_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ it follows that

$$x(s) \geq x(t) + f(x(\tau(t))) \int_s^t I_{n-1}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du$$

for $t \geq s \geq t_2$. Therefore

$$x(\tau(t)) \geq x(t) + f(x(\tau(t))) \int_{\tau(t)}^t I_{n-1}(u, \tau(t); r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du, \tag{4}$$

for $t \geq t_3 \geq t_2$, where t_3 is so large that $\tau(t) \geq t_2$ for $t \geq t_3$.

Since $x(t)$ is decreasing and positive, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = c \geq 0$. It follows from (4) and (1) that $c = 0$. Then

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{f(x(\tau(t)))} \geq \int_{\tau(t)}^t I_{n-1}(u, \tau(t); r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du, \quad t \geq t_3, \quad (5)$$

and taking the limit superior as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ of both sides of (5) we obtain a contradiction to (1). The proof in the case $n \geq 2$ is complete.

In the case $n = 1$ all solutions of (A) are oscillatory because every nonoscillatory solution of (A) is bounded. □

The following theorem can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2. *Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold and*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t I_{n-1}(u, \tau(t); r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1) p_0(u) du > \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{\varphi(x)}. \quad (6)$$

Then if $n \geq 2$ all bounded solutions of inequality (B) are oscillatory, while if $n = 1$ all solutions of (B) are oscillatory.

Remark 1. In the particular case when $r_j \equiv 1$, $\tau_j(t) = \sigma_j(t)$, $M_t^j x = x(\tau_j(t))$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ inequalities (A), (B) take the form

$$(-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(t) f_j(x(\tau_j(t))), \quad (A_0)$$

$$(-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) \operatorname{sgn} x(t) \geq p_0(t) \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(x(\tau_j(t))). \quad (B_0)$$

These inequalities have been considered in Naito [8] under conditions H2-H4. For (A₀) and (B₀) conditions (1) and (6) reduce to the conditions

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t [u - \tau(t)]^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du > (n - 1)! \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{f(x)}, \quad (1^*)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^t [u - \tau(t)]^{n-1} p_0(u) du > (n - 1)! \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|}{\varphi(x)}, \quad (6^*)$$

respectively.

If we choose $\tau(t) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tau_j(t)$, conditions (1*) and (6*) coincide with conditions (1) and (7) of Theorems 1 and 2 from [8].

Theorem 3. Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold,

$$\int_{+0}^{+a} \frac{dx}{f(x)} < +\infty, \int_{-a}^{-0} \frac{dx}{f(x)} < +\infty \text{ for some } a > 0, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \left(\int_s^{\tau^{-1}(t)} I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du \right) ds = +\infty. \tag{8}$$

Then all bounded solutions of inequality (A) are oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of inequality (A). Without loss of generality we suppose that $x(t)$ is eventually positive: $x(t) > 0$, $t \geq t_0 \geq \alpha$. It follows from (A) and conditions H2-H4 that $(-1)^n L_n x(t) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. By the boundedness of $x(t)$ and Lemma 1 we conclude that (2) holds for some $t_k \geq t_1$ sufficiently large. From Lemma 2 with $\nu = n$ and $i = 1$ we have

$$L_1 x(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j-1} I_{j-1}(t, s; r_j, \dots, r_2) L_j x(t) + (-1)^{n-1} \int_s^t I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) L_n x(u) du, \quad t \geq s \geq t_k.$$

Then

$$-r_1(s)x'(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j I_{j-1}(t, s; r_j, \dots, r_2) L_j x(t) + (-1)^n \int_s^t I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) L_n x(u) du, \quad t \geq s \geq t_k. \tag{9}$$

Since $x(t)$ is decreasing for $t \geq t_k$, then $M_t^j x \geq x(\tau_j(t)) \geq x(\tau(t))$ for $t \geq t_2 \geq t_k$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Putting $t = \tau^{-1}(s)$ in (9) and taking the monotonicity of f_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ and (2) into account we obtain

$$-x'(s) \geq \frac{f(x(s))}{r_1(s)} \int_s^{\tau^{-1}(s)} I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du \tag{10}$$

for $t \geq t_2$.

Dividing the both sides of (10) by $f(x(s))$ and then integrating from t_2 to t we obtain

$$\int_{x(t)}^{x(t_2)} \frac{dx}{f(x)} \geq \int_{t_2}^t \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \left(\int_s^{\tau^{-1}(s)} I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du \right) ds. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (2) that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = c \geq 0$. Therefore by (7) the left hand side of (11) remains bounded as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which contradicts condition (8). \square

The following theorem can be proved similarly.

Theorem 4. Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold,

$$\int_{+0}^{+a} \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)} < +\infty, \quad \int_{-a}^{-0} \frac{dx}{\varphi(x)} < +\infty \text{ for some } a > 0, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_1(s)} \left(\int_s^{\tau^{-1}(s)} I_{n-2}(u, s; r_{n-1}, \dots, r_2) p_0(u) du \right) ds = +\infty. \quad (13)$$

Then all bounded solutions of inequality (B) are oscillatory.

Remark 2. For inequalities (A_0) , (B_0) conditions (8) and (13) take the form

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_s^{\tau^{-1}(s)} (u - s)^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du \right) ds = +\infty \quad (8^*)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_s^{\tau^{-1}(s)} (u - s)^{n-2} p_0(u) du \right) ds = +\infty, \quad (13^*)$$

respectively.

In Theorems 3 and 4 of [8] it is supposed that $\tau(t) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tau_j(t)$, and additionally, $\tau(t)$ is differentiable and $\tau'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in J$. Under this supposition conditions (8^*) and (13^*) reduce to the conditions

$$\int_0^{\infty} \tau'(t) \left(\int_{\tau(t)}^t (u - \tau(t))^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(u) du \right) dt = +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{\infty} \tau'(t) \left(\int_{\tau(t)}^t (u - \tau(t))^{n-2} p_0(u) du \right) dt = +\infty,$$

which coincide with the corresponding conditions of Theorems 3 and 4, in [8].

References

- [1] D. Bainov, V Petrov, V. Proytcheva, Oscillation of neutral differential equations with “maxima”, *Revista Mathematica de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid*, **8**, No. 1 (1995), 171-180.
- [2] D. Bainov, V. Petrov, V. Proytcheva, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order neutral differential equations with “maxima”, *Dynamics Systems and Applications*, **4** (1995), 137-146.
- [3] G.B. Gustafson, Bounded oscillations of linear and nonlinear delay-differential equations of even order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **46** (1974), 175-189.
- [4] I.T. Kiguradze, On the oscillation of solutions of the equation $\frac{d^m u}{dt^m} + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sign} u = 0$, *Math. Sb.*, **65** (1964), 172-187, In Russian.
- [5] R.G. Koplatadze, Remarks on the oscillation of solutions of higher order differential inequalities and equations with retarded argument, *Differencial'nye Uravnenija*, **10** (1974), 1400-1405, In Russian.
- [6] T. Kusano, H. Onose, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of sublinear retarded differential equations, *Hiroshima Math.*, **4** (1974), 343-355.
- [7] N.T. Markova, P.S. Simeonov, Oscillation criteria for n -th order nonlinear differential equations with deviating argument depending on the unknown function, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **37**, No. 4 (2007), 583-599.
- [8] M. Naito, Oscillations of differential inequalities with retarded arguments, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5** (1975), 187-192.
- [9] Y.G. Sficas, V.A. Staikos, The effect of retarded actions on nonlinear oscillations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **46**, No. 2 (1974), 259-264.
- [10] Y.G. Sficas, V.A. Staikos, Oscillations of differential equations with deviating arguments, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **19** (1976), 35-43.