

**COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON  
SEQUENCE SPACES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS**

Kuldip Raj<sup>1 §</sup>, B.S. Komal<sup>2</sup>, Vinay Khosla<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics  
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University  
Katra, 182320, INDIA  
e-mail: kuldeepraj68@hotmail.com

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
University of Jammu  
Jammu, INDIA

**Abstract:** In this paper we characterize composition operators on sequence spaces of entire functions and we also make an attempt to characterize Fredholmness, isometry, closed range, invertibility of these operators.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47B38, 46E30

**Key Words:** invertible operator, isometry, closed range, Fredholm composition operator

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, T)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $U(x)$  be the fundamental system of balanced, convex and absorbing neighbourhoods of origin. For  $u \in U(x)$ , set  $P_u = \sup\{|f(x)| : f \in u^\circ\}$ , where  $u^\circ$  is the polar of  $u$ . Then  $P_u$  is a seminorm. Moreover,  $p_u = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha u\}$ . Let  $D = \{p_u : u \in U(x)\}$ . Then  $D$  is the family of continuous seminorms generating the topology  $T$  on  $X$ . We denote by  $E(X)$ , the class of all sequences  $x = \{x_n\}$  where  $x_n \in X$ , and  $\{p_u(x_n)\}^{\frac{1}{n}}$  tends to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity for each  $u \in U(x)$ . For each  $x = \{x_n\} \in E(X)$  we define  $P_u(x) = \sup(p_u(x_n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Then  $P_u$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $P_u(x) \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $P_u(x + y) \leq P_u(x) + P_u(y)$ ,
- (iii)  $P_u(\alpha x) \leq A(\alpha)P_u(x)$ .

---

Received: July 7, 2010

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

Here  $x, y \in E(X)$ ,  $\alpha$  is a scalar and  $A(\alpha) = \max\{|\alpha|, 1\}$ . Srivastava [4] proved in his paper that  $P_u$  is a paranorm on  $E(X)$  and  $(E(X), P_u)$  is a paranormed space for each  $u \in U(x)$ . If we consider  $VP_u$  the superenum of the topologies induced by all paranorms  $P_u, u \in U(x)$ , then  $(E(X), VP_u)$  is a topological vector space. It is proved in [4] that if  $X$  is complete, then  $(E(X), VP_u)$  is also complete.

Let  $v : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Then we define a composition transformation  $T_v : E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$  as  $T_v(f) = f \circ v$ , for every  $f \in E(X)$ . In case  $T_v$  is bounded, we call it a composition operator. By  $B(E(X))$ , we denote the set of all bounded linear operators from  $E(X)$  into itself.

A linear transformation  $A$  defined on a locally convex space  $(X, T_P)$ , where  $P$  is the family of seminorms on  $X$ , is an isometry if for every  $p \in P$ ,  $p(Af) = p(f)$ , for every  $f \in X$ . For more details about sequence spaces one can refer to [4], [5]. Whereas the study of Composition operators on some function spaces are considered by [1], [2], [3].

In this paper we plan to study the composition operators on sequence spaces of entire functions.

**Theorem.** *Let  $v : N \rightarrow N$  be a mapping. Then  $T_v : E(X) \rightarrow E(X)$  is continuous iff there exists  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\frac{n}{v(n)} < M \quad \text{for all } n \in N. \quad (i)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the condition (i) is true. Let  $f \in E(X)$ . Then for given  $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$  and a balanced convex neighbourhood,  $u$  of zero, there exist a positive integer  $n_o$  such that  $p_u(f(n))^{1/n} < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_o$ . Choose  $m_o = Mn_o$ . Then from the given condition (i) for  $n \geq m_o$ , we have

$$v(n) > \frac{n}{M} \geq \frac{m_o}{M} = n_o.$$

Therefore

$$(p_u(f(v(n))))^{1/v(n)} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq m_o.$$

Now,

$$(p_u(f(v(n))))^{1/n} = (p_u(f(v(n))))^{1/v(n)} \frac{v(n)}{n} < \epsilon \frac{1}{M},$$

for all  $n \geq m_o$ . Hence  $f \circ v \in E(X)$ . It is easy to show that the topology of pointwise convergence is weaker than the topology of strong convergence of  $E(X)$ . Suppose  $T_v f_n \rightarrow g$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . Now  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(v(k)) = g(k)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(m) = f(m)$  for every  $m$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(v(k)) = f(v(k))$ . Thus  $g(k) = f(v(k))$ . Hence  $T_v$  is continuous by the closed graph theorem.

Conversely, if the condition of the theorem is not true, then for every  $k \in N$ , we can find  $n_k \in N$  such that  $\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)} > k$ . Let  $0 < R < 1$  and  $x \in u$  such that  $p_u(x) \neq g_o$ . Consider the sequence  $f_{n_k} = R^{n_k} \cdot x \chi_{v(n_k)}$ . Then

$$P_u(f_{n_k}(v(n_k))) = \sup\{p_u(f_{n_k}(m))^{\frac{1}{m}} : m \geq 1\} = p_u(R^{n_k}x)^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}} = R^{\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)}} \cdot p_u(x)^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}} < R^{\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . But

$$p_u(T_v f_{n_k}) = P_u(f_{n_k} \circ v) = \sup\{(p_u(f_{n_k}(v(m))))^{\frac{1}{m}} : m \geq 1\} \geq p_u(R^{n_k} \cdot x)^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = RP_u(x)^{\frac{1}{n_k}}.$$

Thus  $P_u(T_v f_{n_k})$  does not go to zero as  $k$  approaches infinity, which contradicts the continuity of  $T_v$ . Hence the condition of the theorem must be true. □

**Corollary.** *If  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ , then  $\# \text{ran } v = \infty$ .*

**Theorem.** *Let  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $T_v$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if:*

- (i)  $N \setminus v(N)$  is a finite set.
- (ii)  $E = \{n : \#v^{-1}(v(n)) > 1\}$  is a finite set.
- (iii)  $\frac{n}{v(n)} \geq \epsilon$  for all but finitely many values of  $n$ .

*Proof.* We first assume that  $T_v$  is a Fredholm operator. If the condition (i) fails, then for a neighbourhood  $u \in U(x)$ , taking  $0 \neq x \in u$  we see that  $f_{x,n} \in \ker T_v$  for every  $n \in N \setminus v(N)$ , where  $f_{x,n}$  is defined as

$$f_{x,n}(m) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } m = n; \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Also  $\{f_{x,n} : n \in N \setminus v(N)\}$  is an infinite independent set contained in  $\ker T_v$ , which contradicts that  $\ker T_v$  is finite dimensional. Hence condition (i) must hold.

Further if the condition (ii) fails then the set  $\{n : \#v^{-1}(v(n)) > 1\}$  is an infinite set. We can choose an infinite number of pairs  $(x_k, y_k)$  such that  $v(x_k) = v(y_k)$ .

Let  $D_{x_k, y_k} : E(X) \rightarrow C$  be defined as  $D_{x_k, y_k}(f) = f(x_k) - f(y_k)$ . Then  $D_{x_k, y_k} \in (E(X))^*$ . Clearly

$$T_v^*(D_{x_k, y_k})(f) = D_{x_k, y_k}(T_v f) = f(v(x_k)) - f(v(y_k)) = 0.$$

But  $\{D_{x_k, y_k} : k \in N\}$  is an infinite linearly independent set in  $[E(X)]^*$  which is contained in  $\ker T_v^*$ . This contradicts the fact that  $T_v^*$  is finite dimensional. Hence the condition (ii) must be true.

The condition (iii) is equivalent to the fact that the composition operator has closed range. □

The converse of the theorem is easy to prove.

**Theorem.** *Let  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $T_v$  is an isometry if and only if  $v$  is the identity map.*

*Proof.* We first suppose that  $T_v$  is an isometry. Define

$$f_{x,n}(m) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } m = n; \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $P_u(T_v f_{x,n}) = P_u(f_{x,n})$ , implies that

$$\sup\{(p_u(f_{x,n}(v(m))))^{\frac{1}{m}}, n \geq 1\} = \sup\{(p_u(f_{x,n}(m)))^{\frac{1}{m}}, m \geq 1\} = (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Equivalently:

$$\sup_{m \in v^{-1}(n)} (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{m}} = (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{i}$$

since  $v^{-1}(n)$  is a finite set so there exists  $m_o \in v^{-1}(n)$  such that

$$(p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{m_o}} = \sup_{m \in v^{-1}(n)} (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{m}} = (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{ii}$$

From (i) and (ii) we infer that  $n = v(n)$ . Since  $v^{-1}(N) = N$ , we have  $v = 1$ .

Conversely, if the given condition is satisfied, then

$$P_u(T_v f) = \sup\{(p_u(f(v(n))))^{\frac{1}{n}}, n \geq 1\} = P_u(f)$$

for every  $f \in E(X)$ .

Thus  $T_v$  is an isometry. □

**Theorem.** Let  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $T_v$  has closed range if and only if there exists  $b > 0$  such that  $\frac{n}{v(n)} \geq b$  for all but finitely many values of  $n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that the condition of the theorem is true. We prove that  $T_v$  has closed range. Let  $f \in \text{ran} T_v$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $T_v f_n \rightarrow f$ . Then for given  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $(p_u(f_n(v(k)) - f_m(v(k))))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon$  for all  $k \geq k_o$ . This implies that

$$(p_u(f_n(v(k)) - f_n(v(k))))^{\frac{1}{k}} < \epsilon^{\frac{k}{v(k)}} < \epsilon^b, \tag{i}$$

for all  $k$  and for all  $n, m \geq n_o$ . Set

$$f_n^\sim(m) = \begin{cases} f_n(m), & \text{if } m \in \text{ran } v; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \notin \text{ran } v. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{f_n^\sim\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E(X)$ . But  $E(X)$  is complete. Therefore there exists  $g \in E(X)$  is such that  $\lim_n f_n^\sim = g$ . By continuity of  $T_v$  we get  $\lim T_v f_n^\sim = T_v g$ . This implies that  $\lim T_v f_n = T_v g$ , which shows  $f = T_v g$  and  $f \in \text{ran} T_v$ . Thus  $\text{ran } T_v$  is closed.

Conversely, if the condition of theorem is not satisfied, then we can find a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that  $\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)} < \frac{1}{k}$ . Suppose  $R > 1$  and  $o \neq x \in u$ . Take  $f_{n_k} = R^{-n_k} x \chi_{v(n_k)}$ . Then

$$P_u(f_{n_k}) = \sup\{p_u(R^{-n_k} x)^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}}, n \geq 1\} = \sup\{(R^{-1}(p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{v(n_k)}}), n \geq 1\} \leq \left(\frac{1}{R}\right)^{\frac{n_k}{v(n_k)}}.$$

But

$$P_u(T_v f_{n_k}) = \sup\{(p_u(R^{-n_k} x \chi_{v(n_k)ov}(m)))^{\frac{1}{m}}\} = (p_u(R^{-n_k} x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = R^{-1}(p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}},$$

which does not go to zero. This implies that  $T_v$  is not bounded away zero on  $\ker T_v$ , which is a contradiction and hence the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem.** Let  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $T_v$  is not compact.

*Proof.* Since  $T_v$  is continuous so  $\# v(n) = \infty$ . Let  $\{v(n_k)\}$  be an infinite sequence in  $v(N)$ . Let  $u \in U(X)$  and  $0 \neq x \in u$  be such that  $p_u(x) = 1$ . Consider the sequence  $\{f_{x,n_k}\}$ . Then  $P_u(T_v f_{x,v(n_k)}) \geq (p_u(x))^{\frac{1}{n_k}} = 1$ . That is  $T_v f_{x,v(n_k)}$  does not go to zero. But  $f_{x,v(n_k)}$  is a weakly bounded sequence. Hence  $T_v$  is not compact.  $\square$

**Theorem.** Let  $T_v \in B(E(X))$ . Then  $T_v$  is invertible if and only if  $v$  is invertible. There exists  $m > 0$  such that  $m < \frac{n}{v(n)}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the condition (i) and(ii) are true. Then by the Theorem 1  $v^{-1} : N \rightarrow N$  induces a composition operator and  $T_{v^{-1}} = T_v^{-1}$ . Therefore  $T_v$  is invertible.

Conversely, suppose that  $T_v$  is invertible. If  $v$  is not surjective, then  $f_{x,n} \in \ker T_v$  and  $n \notin v(N)$  so that  $T_v$  has non-trivial kernel. Thus  $v$  must be surjective. Next if  $v$  is not injective, then for two distinct positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , we have  $v(n_1) = v(n_2)$ . We can infer that  $f \in E(X)$  such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ , does not belongs to  $\text{ran } T_v$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $v$  must be injective. This proves that  $v$  is invertible.

Next the condition  $m < \frac{n}{v(n)}$  implies that  $\frac{v(n)}{n} < \frac{1}{m}$  or  $\frac{n}{w(n)} < m$ , where  $w = v^{-1}$ . Thus in view of theorem (1)  $T_w$  is a bounded composition operator. Clearly  $T_w T_v = 1 = T_v T_w$ . Thus  $T_v$  is invertible with  $T_v^{-1} = T_w$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] E.A. Nordgren, Composition operators on Hilbert spaces, In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **693**, Springer Verlag, New York (1978), 37-68.
- [2] R.S. Pathania, *A Study of Composition Operators and Multiplication Operators on Functional Banach Spaces*, Thesis University of Jammu, India (1991).

- [3] R.K. Singh, B.S. Komal, Composition operators on  $l^p$  and its adjoint, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **70** (1978), 21-25.
- [4] J.K. Srivastava, Kothe and topological duals of generalized entire sequence space, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, **17**, No. 3 (1986), 115-126.
- [5] J.K. Srivastava, B.K. Srivastava, Generalized sequence space  $C(X, p)$ , *Indian Journal Pure and Applied Math.*, **27**, No. 1 (1996), 73-84.