

### $k$ -TOPOLOGIES ON $E$ -COMPACTIFICATIONS

N.R. Mangalambal

Department of Mathematics

St. Joseph's College

Irinjalakkuda, Kerala, 680121, INDIA

e-mail: thottuvai@sancharnet.in

**Abstract:** Given a cardinal  $k$  and an  $E$ -completely regular space  $X$ , for a topological space  $E$ , we define a  $k$ -topology on  $X$ . When  $X$  is a right topological semigroup, this process turns  $X$  into a topological semigroup. This is particularly true in the case of the right topological semigroup  $(e, \delta_E X)$ , the  $E$ -LMC compactification which is characterized by the fact that it is maximal w.r.t. the properties that it is right topological and that  $\lambda_{e(x)}$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54H13, 54D80

**Key Words:**  $E$ -compactification,  $k$ -topology

#### 1. Introduction

The idea that any compact Hausdorff space can be characterized as a space that is homeomorphic to some closed subspace of a topological product of the closed unit interval  $\{x : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  in the real line, has been generalized by S. Mrowka and R. Engelking [2], [3]. Thus a space is  $E$ -completely regular (respectively,  $E$ -compact) if and only if it is homeomorphic to a subspace (respectively closed subspace) of some topological power of  $E$ , where  $E$  is a topological space. A special instance of this generalization is the class in which the space  $E$  is the real line. This class of spaces is the class of real compact spaces. It has been shown that each  $E$ -completely regular space has a maximum  $E$ -compact extension, which is called  $E$ -compactifications. For a properly chosen  $E$ , the maximal  $E$ -compactifications  $\beta_E X$  is the space of all  $E$ -Z-ultra-filters with suitable topology, see [4].

We have the theory of compact right topological semigroups. It is known that

any Hausdorff semitopological semigroup  $X$  (i.e. one which is both left and right topological) has a compactification  $(e, X)$  maximal with respect to the property that it is right topological and the requirement that  $\lambda_{e(x)}$  be continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

The above two different concepts are combined to introduce the notion of  $E$ -LMC compactification of a topologized semigroup  $X$  and it has been proved (see [5]) that any Hausdorff semitopological semigroup  $X$  has an  $E$ -compactification  $(e, \delta_E X)$  for a topological space  $E$  maximal with respect to the property that it is right topological and the requirement that  $\lambda_{e(x)}$  be continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

In the above background we have shown that for a given a cardinal  $k$  and an  $E$ -completely regular space  $X$ , for a topological space  $E$ , we define a  $k$  topology on  $X$  and when  $X$  is a right topological semigroup, this process turns  $X$  into a topological semigroup. This is particularly true in the case of the right topological semigroup  $(e, \delta_E X)$ , the  $E$ -LMC compactification, which is characterized by the fact that it is maximal w.r.t. the properties that it is right topological and that  $\lambda_{e(x)}$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a given topological space.

**Definition 2.1.** (see [2], [3]) 1) A space  $X$  is  $E$ -completely regular if  $X$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of a product of copies of  $E$  and  $X$  is called  $E$ -compact if  $X$  is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of a product of copies of  $E$ .

2) A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called  $E$ -open if it is of the form  $f^{-1}(V)$ , where  $V$  is an open subset of some finite power  $E^n$  and  $f \in C(X, E^n)$ . A subset  $F$  of  $X$  is  $E$ -closed if and only if its complement is  $E$ -open, where

$$C(X, E^n) = \{f : X \longrightarrow E^n, f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** (see [6]) *Let  $X$  and  $E$  be spaces. The following are equivalent.*

1.  $X$  is  $E$ -completely regular.
2. For each closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and each  $p \in X \setminus A$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  and  $f \in C(X, E^n)$  such that  $f(p)$  not in  $cl_{E^n} f(A)$ .
3.  $E$ -open subsets of  $X$  form a base for the open subsets of  $X$ .  
In general we cannot replace  $\cup\{C(X, E^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  by  $C(X, E)$ .

**Convention.** 1. *It is a topological field.*

2. We take  $w$  copies of  $E$  and name them  $\{E_i : i \in w\}$ . Then by  $E^n$ , we mean  $E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \times \dots \times E_n$ . If  $n < m$ , there is an obvious embedding of  $E^n$  in  $E^m$ , namely

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \longleftarrow (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

This convention is needed for defining algebraic operations in our further developments. However this does not conflict with notation used in [6] since any rearrangement of co-ordinates is a homeomorphism.

3. We consider the class of all spaces  $X$  such that for each closed set  $A \subset X$  and a point  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  and  $f \in C(X, E^n)$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$  where  $0$  is the origin  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $E^n$ , the additive identity. Such spaces are called  $E$ -spaces.

**Definition 2.2.**

$$C_E(x) = \cup\{C(X, E^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$Z_{E^n}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\},$$

where  $f \in C(X, E^n)$  is called an  $E$ - zero set of  $f$ .

For  $f, g \in C_E(X)$ , define  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ , for  $x \in X$ .

If  $f \in C(X, E^n), g \in C(X, E^m)$  and if  $n > m$ , then since  $E^m$  is embedded in  $E^n$  as described above,  $g(x)$  can be taken as a member of  $E^n$ , i.e.  $g \in C(X, E^n)$ . So  $f(x) + g(x)$  makes sense. Likewise  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$  for  $x \in X$ .

**3.  $E$ -LMC Compactification**

Let  $X$  represent a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. Let  $K$  represent the disjoint union  $\amalg E^n = \cup(E^n \times \{n\})$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $f \in C_E(X)$  is defined to be in  $E$ -LMC if:

1.  $\{f \cdot \lambda_x : x \in X\} \subseteq C_E(X)$ .
2.  $\sigma(C_E(X), C_E(X)^*)$ -closure of  $\{f \circ \rho_x : x \in X\} \subseteq C_E(X)$ .
3. For each  $y \in X$ ,  $\sigma(C_E(X), C_E(X)^*)$ -closure of  $\{f \circ \lambda_y \circ \rho_x : x \in X\} \subseteq C_E(X)$ . Put  $\lambda_1 = P_1 = i$ , the identity map. Then  $f \in E$ -LMC if for each  $y \in X \cup \{1\}$ ,  $\sigma(C_E(X), C_E(X)^*)$ -closure of  $\{f \circ \lambda_y \circ \lambda_x : x \in X \cup \{1\}\} \subseteq C_E(X)$ , where  $C_E(X)^*$  denote the topological dual of  $C_E(X)$  and  $\sigma(C_E(X), C_E(X)^*)$  denotes the weak topology induced on  $C_E(X)$  by  $C_E(X)^*$  and

$$\rho_x : X \longrightarrow X \text{ is given by } \rho_x(y) = yx,$$

$$\lambda_x : X \longrightarrow X \text{ is given by } \lambda_x(y) = xy.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $T$  be an  $E$ -compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup and  $\phi : X \rightarrow T$  be a continuous homomorphism such that  $\lambda_{\phi(x)}$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ . If  $f \in C_E(T)$ , then  $f \circ \phi \in E - LMC(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in X \cup \{1\}$  and

$$g \in \sigma(C_E(X), C_E(X)^*) - cl\{f \cdot \lambda_y \cdot \rho_x : x \in X \cup \{1\}\}.$$

It suffices to show that there is some  $a \in T$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f \cdot \lambda_{\phi(tx)}(a) = g(x)$ , for then, if  $t = 1$ ,  $g = f \cdot \lambda_{\phi(a)} = f \cdot \rho_a \cdot \phi$  and if  $t \in X$   $g = f \cdot \lambda_{\phi(t)} \cdot \rho_a \cdot \phi$ . In either case,  $g$  is the composition of continuous functions.

Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an  $E$ -Z-net such that  $(f \cdot \phi \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_{X_\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  converges to  $g$ . By taking a  $E$ -Z-subnet if necessary, we may assume  $(\phi(X_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$  converges to some  $a \in T$ . Then for each  $t \in X$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ ,

$$f \cdot \lambda_{\phi(yt)}(\phi(x_\alpha)) = \Pi_t(f \cdot \phi \cdot \lambda_y \cdot \rho_{x_\alpha}),$$

$$f \cdot \lambda_{\phi(yt)}(a) = g(t) \text{ as required.} \quad \square$$

**Definition 3.3.**

1. Define  $e : X \rightarrow \prod_{f \in E-LMC} E_f$ , where  $E_f = E$  for every  $f \in E - LMC$ , where  $e(x)(f) = f(x)$ .
2.  $\delta_E X = cl e[X]$ .

Then  $\delta_E X$  is  $E$ -compact and Hausdorff and  $e[X]$  is dense in  $\delta_E X$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f \in E - LMC$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then  $f \circ \lambda_x \in E - LMC$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in X \cup \{1\}$ . Then

$$\{(f \cdot \lambda_x) \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_y : y \in X \cup \{1\}\} = \{f \cdot \lambda_{xt} \cdot \rho_y : y \in X \cup \{1\}\}. \quad \square$$

**Definition 3.5.** For  $f \in E - LMC$ ,  $\nu \in \delta_E X$ , define  $h_{\nu,f} : X \rightarrow K$  by  $h_{\nu,f}(x) = \nu(f \circ \lambda(x))$ .

By Theorem 2.4  $\nu$  is defined at  $f \circ \lambda_x$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f \in E - LMC$ ,  $\nu \in \delta_E X$ . Then  $h_{\nu,f} \in E - LMC$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in X \cup \{1\}$ . We show that for each  $x \in X \cup \{1\}$ ,  $h_{\nu,f} \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_x \in cl\{f \cdot \lambda_s \cdot \rho_s : s \in X \cup \{1\}\}$  so that  $cl\{h_{\nu,f} \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_x : x \in X \cup \{1\}\} \subseteq \{cl f \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_s : s \in X \cup \{1\}\} \subseteq C_E(X)$

Let  $x \in X \cup \{1\}$ ,  $F$  a finite subset of  $X$ , for each  $y \in F$ ,  $U_y$  be a neighbourhood of  $h_{\nu,f} \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_x(y)$  in  $K^\times$ . Then,  $U = \cap \Pi_{y^{-1}}(U_y)$  is a basic neighbourhood of  $h_{\nu,f} \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_x$  (here,  $\Pi_y : K^\times \rightarrow K$ ). Let  $V = \bigcap_{y \in F} \Pi_{f \cdot \lambda_{tyx}}(U_y)$  (here  $\Pi_{f \cdot \lambda_{tyx}} : \prod_{g \in E-LMC} E_g \rightarrow K$ , where  $E_g = E$  for every  $g \in E-LMC$ ).

Given  $y \in F$ ,  $h_{\nu,f} \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_x(y) = h_{\nu,f}(tyx) = \nu(f \cdot \lambda_{tyx})$  so that  $V$  is a neighbourhood of  $\nu$ . Pick  $s \in X$  such that  $e(s) \in V$ . Then given  $y \in F$ ,

$$f \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_{xs}(y) = f(tyxs) = f \cdot \lambda_{tyx}(s) = e(s)(f \cdot \lambda_{tyx}) \in U_y.$$

Thus  $f \cdot \lambda_t \cdot \rho_{xs} \in U$  as required. □

**Definition 3.7.** For  $\mu, \nu \in \delta_E X$ , define  $\mu\nu \in K^{E-LMC}$  by  $\mu\nu(f) = \mu(h_{\nu,f})$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *With the operation just defined,  $\delta_E X$  is a right topological semigroup and for each  $s \in X$ ,  $\lambda_{e(s)}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* We first show that for  $\mu, \nu \in \delta_E X; \mu\nu \in \delta_E X$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $E-LMC$  and for each  $f \in F$ , let  $U_f$  be a neighbourhood of  $\mu\nu(f)$ . Then  $U = \cap \Pi_f^{-1}(U_f)$  is basic neighbourhood of  $\mu\nu$ . Now, given  $f \in F, \nu(f \cdot \lambda_s) = h_{\nu,f}(s) = e(s)(h_{\nu,f}) \in U_f$  so that  $\cap \Pi_{h_{\nu,f}}^{-1}(U_f)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\nu$ . Pick  $s \in X$  such that  $e(s) \in \cap \Pi_{h_{\nu,f}}^{-1}(U_f)$ . Then, given  $f \in F, e(st)(f) = f(st) = (f \cdot \lambda_s)(t) = e(t)(f \cdot \lambda_s) \in U_f$  so that  $e(st) \in U$  as required.

To see that the operation is associative, let  $\mu, \nu, \eta \in \delta_E X$  and  $f \in E-LMC$ . Then,  $(\mu\nu)\eta(f) = (\mu\nu)(h_{\mu,f}) = \mu(h_{\nu,h_{\mu,f}})$  and  $\mu(\nu\eta)(f) = \mu(h_{\nu\eta,f})$ , so it suffices to show  $h_{\nu,h_{\mu,f}} = h_{\nu\eta,f}$ .

Let  $s \in X$ . Then  $h_{\nu,h_{\mu,f}}(s) = (h_{\mu,f} \cdot \lambda_s)$  and  $h_{\nu\eta,f}(s) = \nu\eta(f \cdot \lambda_s) = \nu(h_{\eta,f} \cdot \lambda_s)$  so it suffices to show that

$$h_{\mu,f} \cdot \lambda_s = h_{\eta,f} \cdot \lambda_s.$$

So let  $t \in X$ . Then,

$$(h_{\mu,f} \cdot \lambda_s)(t) = h_{\mu,f}(st) = \mu(f \cdot \lambda_{st}) = \mu(f \cdot \lambda_s \cdot \lambda_t) = h_{\mu,f} \cdot \lambda_s(t).$$

To see that  $\delta_E X$  is right topological, let  $\nu \in \delta_E X$ ,  $f \in E-LMC$  and  $U$  open in  $K$ . Then  $\Pi_f^{-1}(U) \cap \delta_E X$  is a sub basic open set in  $\delta_E X$ .  $\Pi_{h_{\nu,f}}^{-1}(U) \cap \delta_E X = \rho_\nu^{-1}[\Pi_f^{-1}(U) \cap \delta_E X]$ . □

**Theorem 3.9.** *The function  $e : X \rightarrow \delta_E X$  is a continuous homomorphism.*

**Remark 3.10.**  $e : X \rightarrow \delta_E X$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  into  $\delta_E X$  if and only if for every closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and each  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there exists  $f \in E-LMC$  such that  $f(A) = 0$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

#### 4. $\kappa$ -Topologies on $E$ -Compactifications

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal number. We define  $\kappa$ -topology as a topology for which the intersection of any family of open sets with no more than  $\kappa$  members is again open.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $X$  be  $E$ -completely regular space. Define  $V = \cap U_i$  where  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is any family of  $E$ -open sets in  $X$  with  $\text{card} I \leq \kappa$ . Then a base of open sets for a  $\kappa$ -topology on  $X$  is provided by sets of the form  $V$ . This  $\kappa$ -topology on  $X$  is denoted by  $\kappa_E X$  and its members are called  $\kappa_E$ -open sets.

**Remark 3.3.**

1. Suppose that  $Y$  is an  $E$ -completely regular space with any  $\kappa$ -topology and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is continuous, where  $X$  is  $E$ -completely regular. Then  $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is continuous where  $\tau$  is the  $\kappa_E$ -topology on  $X$ .
2. Every  $E$ -open ( $E$ -closed) set in  $X$  is  $\kappa_E$ -open ( $\kappa_E$ -closed).
3. If  $\kappa$  is finite, then  $\kappa$ -topology is just the weak topology on  $X$  induced by  $C_E(X)$ , the original topology of  $X$ .

**Result 4.4.** Let  $X$  be an  $E$ -completely regular Hausdorff space. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. Then every point has a base of  $E$ -open neighbourhoods in  $\kappa_E X$  that are  $E$ -closed in  $X$  (and are  $E$ -closed in  $\kappa_E X$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $G = \cap U_i$  be any basic  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood of  $x$  with each  $U_i$ ,  $E$ -open in  $X$  and  $\text{card} I \leq \kappa$ . For each  $i$ , choose inductively a sequence  $(W_i^n)$  of  $E$ -open neighbourhoods of  $x$  with  $W_i^0 = U_i^0 \subset U_i$  and  $\text{cl} W_i^{n+1} \subseteq W_i^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Then,  $\cap W_i^n = \cap \text{cl} W_i^n$  is  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood of  $x$  (since  $\text{card} I \times \mathbb{N} \leq \kappa$ ) contained in  $G$  and is  $E$ -closed in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Result 4.5.** For  $E - LMC$  compactification  $\delta_E X$  of  $X$ ,  $\kappa$ , infinite and for  $X \subseteq \wedge(\delta_E X)$ , where  $X$  is dense in  $\delta_E X$  and  $\text{card} X \leq \kappa$ , multiplication is continuous from  $\delta_E X \times \kappa - \delta_E X$  to  $\delta_E X$ , where  $\wedge(\delta_E X)$  is the center of  $\delta_E X$ .

*Proof.* Take  $a, b \in \delta_E X$ . Let  $U$  be an  $E$ -open neighbourhood of  $ab$ . Let  $U_0$  be an  $E$ -open neighbourhood of  $ab$  with  $\text{cl} U_0 \subset U$ . Using the fact that  $\delta_E X$  is right topological, find an  $E$ -open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $a$  with  $Vb \subset U_0$ . Since  $X \subseteq \wedge(\delta_E X)$  for each  $x \in X \cap V$ , we can find an  $E$ -open neighbourhood  $W_x$  of  $b$  with  $xW_x \subseteq U_0$ . Then  $G = \cap \{W_x : x \in X \cap V\}$  is a  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood of  $b$ . Since  $X$  is dense in  $\delta_E X$ ,  $X \cap V$  is dense in  $V$ . Therefore for  $v \in V$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $vg \in \text{cl}(X \cap V).g \subseteq \text{cl} U_0 \subseteq U$ , using the fact that  $\delta_E X$  is right topological. Thus  $VG \subseteq U$  as required.  $\square$

**Result 4.6.** Under the hypotheses above,  $\kappa - \delta_E X$  is a topological semigroup.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \delta_E X$  and  $E$  be a  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood  $ab$ , say  $E = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  with each  $U_i$ ,  $E$ -open in  $\delta_E X$  and  $\text{card } I \leq \kappa$ . For each  $i \in I$ , use the result above to find an  $E$ -open neighbourhood  $V_i$  of  $a$  and  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood  $G_i$  of  $b$  with  $V_i G_i \subseteq U_i$ .

Then  $F = \bigcap V_i, G = \bigcap G_i$  are  $\kappa_E$ -open neighbourhood of  $a, b$  respectively with  $FG \subseteq E$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The author is gratefully acknowledges the guidance and support of Dr. T. Thirivikraman during the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] J. Berghend, H. Junghenn, P. Milnes, *Compact Right Topological Semigroups and Generalizations of Almost Periodicity*, Lecture.
- [2] R. Engelking, S. Mrowka, On  $E$ -compact spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, **6** (1958), 429-436.
- [3] S. Mrowka, Further results on  $E$ -compact space I, *Acta Math.*, **120** (1968), 161-185
- [4] N.R. Mangalambal, On maximal  $E$ -compactification, *Journal of Indian Academy of Mathematics*, **23**, No. 1 (2001).
- [5] N.R. Mangalambal,  $E$ -LMC compactification of a semigroup, *Journal Pure Math.*, **18** (2001), 9-15.
- [6] J.R. Porter, R.G. Woods, *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1987).

